## **Deformity Surgery**

## Ziya L. Gokaslan M.D., FACS

Donlin M. Long Professor Professor of Neurosurgery, Oncology & Orthopaedic Surgery Vice Chairman Director of Spine Program Department of Neurosurgery Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland



## "Normal" Adult Posture





Desired Posture:

 Head over
 Shoulders over
 Pelvis over

-Feet







## "Normal" Adult Posture



- Cervical Lordosis 30° 40°
- Thoracic Kyphosis 10° 40°
- Lumbar Lordosis 30° 60°
- Lumbo-Sacral Lordosis 35° 60°
- Pelvic Incidence 40° 60°

### **Definitions of Normal**



#### Normal sagittal alignment

Thoracic kyphosis (T5-T12): 10 to 40°

Lumbar lordosis (L1-S1): 30 to 60°

A Plumb line dropped from the center of C7 vertebral body (C7PL) should pass within 2mm of the posterior-superior S1 endplate.

C7PL posterior more than 2mm = Negative balance

C7PL anterior more than 2mm = Positive balance



## PELVIC PARAMETERS

















FIGURE 6. Coronal alignment is measured as the horizontal displacement of the centroid of C7 relative to the CSVL. C7PL, C7 plumb line (courtesy of Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA, Inc., Memphis, TN).



FIGURE 4. End (EV), neutral (NV), and stable vertebrae (SV) of a deformity. The end vertebra is maximally tilted into the curve. The neutral vertebra is minimally rotated. The stable vertebra is bisected by the center sacral vertical line (vertical line with arrow).



#### **Basic Definitions**



Cobb angle - determined between the most tilted vertebrae Neutral vertebrae - Segments without axial rotation Stable vertebrae – Segments bisected most closely by the central sacral line End vertebrae - the two bodies at the end of the curve, most tiled inward towards the concavity



# Normal Sagittal Alignment

- Ravishankar, V et al, Spine 23; 1998
- Gelb, D et al, Spine 20; 1995
- Jackson, RP, Spine 19; 1994
- Bernhardt, M, Spine 14; 1989
- Stagnara, PS, Spine 7; 1982



## **latrogenic Flat Back Deformity**



 Lumbar Flexion on the Wilson Frame

 (+) Assists Decompression
 (-) Places Sagittal Vertebral Axis (SVA) Anterior to L/S Jct

 FLAT BACK III

## Adult Deformity What is it?

Types AIS De novo – Degenerative Scoli Iatrogenic Traumatic

- Curve is mid-lumbar spine (L2-L3)
- Fractional lumbosacral curve present (L4-SAC)

"rotatory subluxation" of adjacent vertebrae

Sagittal plane variable but often hypolordotic/kyphotic



## **Patient Complaints**

- Pain: % axial back vs. % L.E.
- Neurogenic claudication
- Imbalance coronal and/or sagittal
- Limited exercise/activity tolerance
- Cosmetic concerns



### Aebi Classification

- Targeted at Adult deformities
- Classification based upon pathology

Eur Spine J (2005) 14: 925-948 DOI 10.1007/s00586-005-1053-9	REVIEW	
Max Aebi	The adult scoliosis	
Received: 26 October 2005 Accepted: 26 October 2005 Published online: 18 November 2005 © Springer-Verlag 2005	Abstract Adult scoliosis is defined as a spinal deformity in a skeletally mature patient with a Cobb angle of more than 10° in the coronal plain. Adult scoliosis can be separated into four major groups: Type 1: Primary degenerative scoliosis, mostly on the basis of a disc and/or facet joint	metric arthritic disease and/or ver- tebral fractures. Sometimes it is difficult to decide, what exactly the primary cause of the curve was, once it has significantly progressed. However, once an asymmetric load or degeneration occurs, the patho- morphology and pathomechanism in

arthritis, affecting those structures

adult scoliosis predominantly lo-

#### TABLE 2. Aebi adult deformity classification

Туре	Description	Cause
I	Primary degenerative scoliosis; Most commonly has curve apex L2–3 or L4	Disc degeneration (asymmetric); Facet joint degeneration
II	Idiopathic scoliosis that has progressed; Lumbar and/or thoracolumbar	Progression of idiopathic scoliosis (present since childhood) caused by degenerative disease and/or mechanical/bony reasons
IIIa	Secondary adult scoliosis; Typically thoracolumbar or lumbar-lumbosacral	Secondary to an adjacent curve of idiopathic, neuromuscular or congenital origin; Obliquity of pelvis caused by leg length discrepancy or hip abnormality; Lumbosacral transitional anomaly
IIIb	Deformity resulting from bone weakness (e.g., osteoporotic fracture)	Metabolic bone disease, osteoporosis

#### Schwab Classification

- Targeted at Adult deformities
- Classification based upon clinical outcomes
- Database of 947 patients assessed clinically and radiographically

SPINE Volume 31, Number 18, pp 2109-2114 ©2006, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

#### A Clinical Impact Classification of Scoliosis in the Adult

Frank Schwab, MD,\* Jean-Pierre Farcy, MD,\* Keith Bridwell, MD,† Sigurd Berven, MD,‡ Steven Glassman, MD,§ John Harrast, MS,|| and William Horton, MD¶

Study Design. Multicenter, prospective, consecutive clinical series.

Objectives. To establish and validate classification of scoliosis in the adult.

Summary of Background Data. Studies of adult scoliosis reveal the impact of radiographic parameters on selfassessed function: lumbar lordosis and frontal plane obliquity of lumbar vertebrae, not Cobb angle, correlate with pain scores. Deformity apex and intervertebral subluxations correlate with disability.

Methods. A total of 947 adults with spinal deformity had radiographic analysis: frontal Cobb angle, deformity apex, lumbar lordosis, and intervertebral subluxation. Health assessment included Oswestry Disability Index and Scoliosis Research Society instrument. Deformity apex, lordosis (T12–S1), and intervertebral subluxation were used to classify patients. Outcomes measures and surgical rates were evaluated.

Results. Mean maximal coronal Cobb was 46° and lumbar lordosis 46°. Mean maximal intervertebral subluxation (frontal plane) was 4.2 mm (sagittal plane, 1.2 mm). In thoracolumbar/lumbar deformities, the loss of lordosis/ higher subluxation was associated with lower Scoliosis Research Society pain/function and higher Oswestry Disability Index scores. Across the study group, lower apex combined with lower lordosis led to higher disability. Higher surgical rates with decreasing lumbar lordosis and higher intervertebral subluxation were detected.

Conclusions. A clinical impact classification has been established based on radiographic markers of disability. The classification has shown correlation with self-reported disability as well as rates of operative treatment.

Key words: scoliosis, adult, classification, clinical outcomes. Spine 2006;31:2109-2114 scoliotic deformities, there are no accepted classification systems, and a transposition of pediatric/adolescent classification systems is not feasible. In adults, the clinical impact of the deformity and the treatment approaches are not related to skeletal age and rarely to projected progression, but rather to pain and disability. The lack of a classification in the adult deformity population has limited the study of prognostic markers and progress in establishing treatment algorithms.

Although the prevalence of scoliosis in the adult population has been reported as ranging from 2% to 32%, a recent study targeting elderly volunteers showed a prevalence of more than 60%.<sup>3–8</sup> With an increasingly aging population in the United States and increased attention to quality of life issues, adult scoliosis is becoming a considerable health care concern. Aside from the esthetic considerations of scoliosis in the adult, significant pain and disability can develop.<sup>9</sup>

A number of investigators have examined the impact of radiographic parameters on self-assessed function (outcomes instruments) in the setting of scoliosis in the adult.<sup>10</sup> In one recent study, the loss of lumbar lordosis and obliquity of lumbar vertebrae, but not Cobb angle, on a coronal radiograph were significantly correlated with pain scores. Other investigations have shown global imbalance, apical level of a scoliotic deformity, and intervertebral subluxation to be significantly related to outcomes scores.<sup>10-12</sup>

The purpose of this study was to create, and validate, a classification of scoliosis in the adult. The approach was based on a number of previously established clinically sig-

### Schwab Classification

Introduced emphasis on different principles:

- Importance of lumbar segments
- Loss of lordosis and sagittal balance
- Segmental subluxation in either plane

TABLE 3. Schwab adult deformity classification			
Classification	Radiographic criteria		
Туре			
1	Thoracic-only curve (no other curves)		
11	Upper thoracic major, apex T4–T8		
111	Lower thoracic major, apex T9-T10		
IV	Thoracolumbar major curve, apex T11–L1		
V	Lumbar major curve, apex L2–L4		
Lumbar lordosis modifier			
Α	Marked lordosis (>40 degrees)		
В	Moderate lordosis (0–40 degrees)		
С	No lordosis present (Cobb $> 0$ degrees)		
Subluxation modifier			
0	No intervertebral subluxation any level		
+	Maximal measured subluxation 1–6 mm		
++	Maximal subluxation > 7 mm		

### **SRS** Classification

### Targeted at Adult deformities

 Attempts to capture all the major radiographic features of the deformity

> SPINE Volume 31, Number 19 Suppl, pp S119–S125 ©2006, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

#### The SRS Classification for Adult Spinal Deformity

Building on the King/Moe and Lenke Classification Systems

Thomas Lowe, MD,\* Sigurd H. Berven, MD,† Frank J. Schwab, MD,‡ and Keith H. Bridwell, MD§

TABLE 4. Scoliosis Research Society adult deformity classification <sup>a</sup>		
Primary curve types		
Single thoracic (ST)		
Double thoracic (DT)		
Double major (DM)		
Triple major (TM)		
Thoracolumbar (TL)		
Lumbar "de novo"/idiopathic (L)		
Primary sagittal plane deformity (SP)		
Adult spinal deformity modifiers: regional sagittal modifier (include only if outside normal ranges as listed)		
Proximal thoracic (T2–T5): $\geq$ +20 degrees (PT)		
Main thoracic (T5–T12): $\geq$ +50 degrees (MT)		
Thoracolumbar (T10–L2): $\geq$ +20 degrees (TL)		
Lumbar (T12–S1): $\geq$ -40 degrees (L)		
Lumbar degenerative modifier (include only if present)		
Decreased disc height and facet arthropathy based on x-ray: include lowest involved level between L1 and S1 (DDD)		
Listhesis (rotational, lateral antero, retro) ≥3 mm: include lowest level between L1 and L5 (LIS)		
Junctional L5–S1 curve ≥10 degrees (intersection angle superior endplates L5 and S1) (ICT)		
Global balance modifier (include only if imbalance present)		
Sagittal C7 plumb $\geq$ 5 cm anterior or posterior to sacral promontory (SB)		
Coronal C7 plumb $\geq$ 3 cm right or left of CSVL (CB)		
SKS definition of regions		
Thoracic: apex T2-T11-T12 disc		
Thoracolumbar: apex T12–L1		
Lumbar: apex L1–L2 disc–L4		
Criteria for specific major curve types		
Thoracic curves: 1) curve $\geq$ 40 degrees; 2) apical vertebral body lateral to C7 plumbline; 3) T1 rib or clavicle angle $\geq$ 10 degrees upper thoracic curves		
Thoracolumbar and lumbar curves: 1) curve $\geq$ 30 degrees; 2)		

apical vertebral body lateral to CSVL

Primary sagittal plane deformity: no major coronal curve



<u>Aebi</u> IIIa
<u>Schwab</u> VB+
<u>SRS</u> L+DDD(L1-S1)+LIS(LL2-5)+SB

## Most recently

Spinal deformity: a new classification derived from neutral upright spinal alignment measurements in asymptomatic juvenile, adolescent, adult, and geriatric individuals.

Kuntz C 4th, Shaffrey CI, Ondra SL, Durrani AA, Mummaneni PV, Levin LS, Pettigrew DB.

Neurosurgery. 2008 Sep;63(3 Suppl):25-39.

What correction does one need to obtain balance?

PI + LL + TK < or =45 degrees showed 91% sensitivity for predicting ideal sagittal balance at 24 months

#### Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2009 Apr 15;34(8):785-91.

Role of pelvic incidence, thoracic kyphosis, and patient factors on sagittal plane correction following pedicle subtraction osteotomy. Rose PS, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Cronen GA, Mulconrey DS, Buchowski JM, Kim YJ.

## Sagittal Plan abnormalities

## Fading into Kyphosis











## **C7 Plumb line**

#### Sagittal balance

Pain
 (Schwab Spine 2002)

#### Positive Sagittal Balance

- Most important reliable radiographic predictor (SF-12)
- Worse pain, function, and self image

Glassman, Bridwell et al Spine 2005



# S1 +/- 2 cm

## Flat Back Deformity

### Powerful Multiplier







### **ADULT LUMBAR DEGEN SCOLIOSIS**



#### EARLY L5 SCREW PULLOUT/SAGITTAL IMBALANCE!!!

## Biomechanics of Kyphosis "Kyphosis Begets Kyphosis"



## **Indication for Treatment**

Neurological compromise

- Pain and functional debilitation
  - Failed pain management and non-surgical treatments for greater than 6-12 months
  - Negative prognostic indicators
    - Positive sagittal balance
    - Lateral listhesis
- Deformity progression
  - Failed bracing
  - Skeletal immaturity
  - Curves > 45 degrees
  - Greater than 5 degree progression in 1 year
  - Greater than 3 degree progression in 2 consecutive years

#### Cosmesis







## **Standard Work-up**

Calcium
Vitamin D(25-hydroxyvitamin D)
PTH
Testosterone (males)
DEXA scan





## Vitamin D

1000 -2000 IU/day D<sub>3</sub> (cholecalciferol)
 Higher doses for deficiency
 D<sub>2</sub> (ergocalciferol) for severe deficiency

D<sub>2</sub> 6-12 weeks of 50,000 units 1-3 times/week (at least 600, 000 IU)
 Forteo 20ug SQ/wk for 12 weeks




## Sagittal decompensation Issues

- Anterior column lengthening vs. posterior column shortening or both
  - -1 vs. 2 approaches
    - medical issues
  - correction per osteotomy
    - Smith-Peterson: 5-10°/level
    - Thomasen: 30°
  - dural kinking





From: Bauer R et al. Atlas of Spinal Operations. Thieme Medical Publishers Inc. New York, NY. 1993. p. 230. Fig 4.4



Fig. 4.5 Median interlaminar osteotomy by resection of the ossified yellow ligament.

1 Spinal dura mater

From: Bauer R et al. Atlas of Spinal Operations. Thieme Medical Publishers Inc. New York, NY. 1993. p. 231. Fig 4.5



Fig. 4.6 Position of osteotomies in relation to the posterior vertebral elements and the neural structures.

- 1 Spinal nerve
- 2 Spinal dura mater



- the spinal nerve being protected by a dissector
- 2 Spinal dura mater
- 3 Spinal nerve

From: Bauer R et al. Atlas of Spinal Operations. Thieme Medical Publishers Inc. New York, NY. 1993. p. 232. Fig 4.7



Fig. 4.9 Drilling of a hole for screw implantation in anteromedial direction at an angle of 15 degrees to the sagittal plane.

From: Bauer R et al. Atlas of Spinal Operations. Thieme Medical Publishers Inc. New York, NY. 1993. p. 232. Fig 4.9



Fig. 4.11 Site after insertion of the right threaded rod between T10 and S1. Fixation of nuts on the central screw at L2 (see arrow), and insertion of the left threaded rod.



Fig. 4.12 Correction of the nuts peripheral to the central screw by centripetal tightening. The counternuts loosely seated in the screw hole are moved simultaneously with the Zielke double-key Haifaa wrench.

- 1 Correcting nut
- 2 Counternut



Fig. 4.13 Site after correction. The lateral osteotomies are closed.



Fig. 4.14 Detail of site after grafting. It is important to apply the large chips from resection of the spinous processes to the median osteotomies.

Fig. 4.16 Principle of correction.

- **a** Lumbar segments with resected posterior portions and partial osteotomy of the vertebral body.
- **b** Lumbar segments after correction.
- 1 Resected bone
- 2 Syndesmophytes







Fig. 4.**17** Detail of the site after the lateral osteotomies and laminectomy. Resection of the upper articular process of the next lower vertebra.

From: Bauer R et al. Atlas of Spinal Operations. Thieme Medical Publishers Inc. New York, NY. 1993. p. 237. Fig 4.17



Fig. 4.**18** Detail of the site after lateral and median osteotomies. The articular components are still partly intact, and the transverse processes and pedicles are completely intact. The dura and the four adjacent spinal nerves are visualized.



Fig. 4.**19** Cancellous bone is scooped out of the vertebral body from the base of the right pedicle. The left pedicle is still intact. The transverse processes have been resected together with the articular components.



Fig. 4.20 Osteotomy of the left wall of the vertebral body from the base of the pedicle.



Fig. 4.21 Osteotomy of the posterior wall of the vertebral body.



Fig. 4.22 Site after implantation of the complete USI system.

From: Bauer R et al. Atlas of Spinal Operations. Thieme Medical Publishers Inc. New York, NY. 1993. p. 239. Fig 4.22



Fig. 4.23 Site after correction and fixation of the correcting nuts and counternuts. The vertebral body osteotomy has been closed.



Fig. 4.24 Detail of the site after application of corticocancellous iliac crest chips to the adjacent decorticated laminae. The chips are attached to the threaded rods with sutures.

Anterior Segmental Manipulation Intervertebral disc space distraction via:

- ALIF
- XLIF/DLIF
- PLIF
- TLIF

Very powerful for restoration of anterior column height (and restoring lordosis)
Allows for loosening of deformities without osteotomies
Effective for focal curves/listheses
Manages focal disc and facet joint pain generators

#### **Vertebral Column Resection**

Vertebral Column Resection. Depiction of a Sharp Angular Kyphosis In the Thoracic Spine



Vertebral Column Resection. Resection of Two Vertebral Segments and Three Discs, Anteriorly and Posteriorly



Vertebral Column Resection. Closure of the Vertebral Column Resection With Reconstruction of the anterior Column With Cage and Posterior Column With Pedicle Screw Instrumentation





FIGURE 3. Diagrammatic representation of the steps for VCR using a posterior-only approach. See Posterior-Only Approach for a detailed description of parts A–F.













April 18, 2012

# Tape prior to breaking table

The tape will help get leverage over the break



Tape down thigh and across knee

Tape pulling crest inferiorly

# **Aggressive Break**



**99.9%** of L4-5 disc spaces can be accessed!

## Implant Placement

#### BMP

- Should already have been prepared
- Pack both apertures (med or lg kit)
- Optional tie suture around implant to contain BMP during implant insertion
- Caution can lose BMP through suction (especially if irrigating prior to close)
- If endplates destroyed, BMP may cause osteolysis



### Implant Placement



### Implant Placement

<u>Lateral view</u>: Anterior half of disc space, Endplates intact

#### <u>AP view</u>: Center marker along spinous processes, Lateral markers along margins of vertebrae









- **41 y. o. female**
- Injured back doing gymnastics as a 13yo
- S/P L5 laminectomy/in situ fusion 1991
- Progressive debilitating mechanical LBP
- Radiating LE pain/posterior thighs to knee











+ : FAL	+ : F A L 16:31 28-AUG-2006 Image 93 Ser 1-8	16:31 28-AUG-2006 IMAGE 92 SER 1-8	AF	
			WF 1.50	
			R	
8.FT 92	L 8.2 92		NF 1.50	
SL 5.0 Fov 300×300 180 ×25605 Sag I3 W 1164	SL 5.0 tse1_15 FoV 300×300 №R D 180 ×25605 Sag TR 4609.0 TE 134.0/1 TA 05:38 ₩ 1230 AC 2 F:1	tse1_15 *R D TR 4609.0 TE 134.0/1 TA 05:38 AC 2 F:13	R	
16AR C 634	C 645	LUMBAR	tse1_7 +RI D TR 5605.0 TE 117.0/1 TA 06:05 AC 1 F:13	SP 110.5 SL 5.0 FoV 210*240 154 *2550s Tra>Cor 25



F:13 LUMBAR












## **Posterior:** T<sub>2</sub>-L<sub>2</sub> and 9 SPO











## S/P REV-PSF/PSO-L3/ASF JOHNS HOPKINS



## **Achieve Balance!**





























# PPre-Op 27.7 degrees of Focal Kyphosis





# First Intra-Op Film, About 28 degrees of Focal KyphosisFi



## After Cage Placement Prior to Final Correction With the Rods





## Final Correction with about 11 Degrees of Focal KyphosisF






































































## Pre/Postoperative Radiographs



Sagittal imbalance surgical goals

Completely correct the decompensation

- Correct as close to apex as possible
- fix the entire kyphosis
- instrument equal moment arms
- Achieve global balance